



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )  
 )  
vs. )  
 )  
*Doris O'Keefe CURTIS* )  
 )  
Defendant. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

Case No.: *08-1239 M*

ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING  
[Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.1(a)(6);  
18 U.S.C. 3143(a)]

The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to  
a warrant issued by the United States District Court for the  
*E.D. Mo.* for alleged violation(s) of the terms and  
conditions of his/~~her~~ ~~[probation]~~ [supervised release]; and

The Court having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to  
Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a),

The Court finds that:

A. (✓) The defendant has not met his/~~her~~ burden of establishing by  
clear and convincing evidence that he/~~she~~ is not likely to flee  
if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is  
based on *no evidence presented by Δ; no Pre-trial interview, & no*

1 information sufficient to show bail resources

2  
3  
4 and/or

5 B. (✓) The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by  
6 clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to pose  
7 a danger to the safety of any other person or the community if  
8 released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based  
9 on: no evidence presented by Δ; Δ's history of drug usage -  
10 criminal history

11  
12  
13  
14 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending  
15 the further revocation proceedings.

16  
17 Dated: 5/20/08

18  
19 Ralph Zarefsky  
20 RALPH ZAREFSKY  
21 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28